SAMPLE BALLOT
PRIMARY ELECTION
TUESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2026
LAWRENCE COUNTY, MISSOURI

NOTICE OF ELECTION

Notice is hereby given that the Primary Election will be held in the County of Lawrence on Tuesday, August 4, 2026 as certified to this office by the participating entities of Lawrence County. The ballot for the Election shall be in substantially the following form.

REPUBLICAN PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR
Vote For ONE
- ◯ SCOTT FITZPATRICK
- ◯ GERALD (JERRY) WISTRAND JR.

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 7
Vote For ONE
- ◯ JOHN CASEY
- ◯ GRAYSON HUNT
- ◯ ERIC W. BURLISON

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 157
Vote For ONE
- ◯ MITCH BOGGS

FOR ASSOCIATE CIRCUIT JUDGE DIVISION I
Vote For ONE
- ◯ DON TROTTER

FOR ASSOCIATE CIRCUIT JUDGE DIVISION II
Vote For ONE
- ◯ MATTHEW KASPER

FOR PRESIDING COMMISSIONER
Vote For ONE
- ◯ BOB SENNINGER

FOR COUNTY CLERK
Vote For ONE
- ◯ TAMMY (COLE) RIEBE

FOR CIRCUIT CLERK
Vote For ONE
- ◯ PAM MIESWINKEL FOBAIR

FOR RECORDER OF DEEDS
Vote For ONE
- ◯ DENISE MASSIE

FOR TREASURER
Vote For ONE
- ◯ STEVE WENDLER

FOR PROSECUTING ATTORNEY
Vote For ONE
- ◯ DARLENE PARRIGON

FOR COLLECTOR OF REVENUE
Vote For ONE
- ◯ ERIN OWENS

Write-in: To vote for a valid write-in candidate, write the person's name on the line provided and darken the oval.

FOR N MT. VERNON TOWNSHIP COMMITTEEMAN
Vote For ONE
- ◯ DAVID CLYDE HUBBARD
- ◯ DOUG SEXTON
- ◯ _____
  WRITE IN

DEMOCRATIC PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR
Vote For ONE
- ◯ QUENTIN WILSON
- ◯ GREGORY UPCHURCH

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 7
Vote For ONE
- ◯ MISSI HESKETH

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 157
Vote For ONE
- ◯ BRADLEY BOS

LIBERTARIAN PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR
Vote For ONE
- ◯ DUSTIN COFFELL

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 7
Vote For ONE
- ◯ KEVIN CRAIG

NON-PARTISAN

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 1

Proposed by Article IV, Section 47(c) (Second Regular Session) SJR No. 1

Shall Missouri continue for 10 years the one-tenth of one percent sales/use tax that is used for soil and water conservation and for state parks and historic sites, and resubmit this tax to the voters for approval in 10 years?

The measure allows continued collection of the existing sales and use tax, which generates revenue of approximately $140 million annually.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 2

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly, (First Regular Session) HCS HJR Nos. 23 & 3

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:
• require all charter counties, including Jackson County, to provide for the election of a county assessor; and
• require assessors in all charter counties to comply with any training requirements established by general law?

State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 4

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly (Second Extraordinary Session) HCS HJR No. 3

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:
• Modify current requirements that a statewide majority of voters may approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution;
• Require a majority of voters in each congressional district to approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution; and
• Make available to each voter the full text of initiative petitions with their ballot?

The Department of Corrections estimates increased annual costs of up to $21,817. The Office of State Public Defender estimates an unknown fiscal impact. Other state governmental entities estimate no costs or savings. Local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 5

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly, (Second Regular Session) SS SCS HCS HJR Nos. 173 & 174

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:
• Require legislative phase-out of the individual state income tax based on revenue growth, and authorize the expansion of sales and use taxes;
• Curtail constitutional limits on taxing goods and services; and
• Require local tax rate cuts without reducing school funding if local sales tax revenue increases?

The proposal has no direct impact on state or local tax revenue. If passed, implementing legislation will have an unknown impact to state and local tax revenue. If implemented, state government entities expect a reduction of $57,000 annually in income tax check-off donations and implementation costs of at least $100,000.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**