SAMPLE BALLOT
PRIMARY ELECTION
TUESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2026
BARTON COUNTY, MISSOURI

NOTICE OF ELECTION

Notice is hereby given that the Primary Election will be held in the County of Barton on Tuesday, August 4, 2026 as certified to this office by the participating entities of Barton County. The ballot for the Election shall be in substantially the following form.

REPUBLICAN PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **SCOTT FITZPATRICK**
- ⬭ **GERALD (JERRY) WISTRAND JR.**

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 4

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **MARK ALFORD**
- ⬭ **HEATHER SHELTON**
- ⬭ **SCOTT VINCENT VERA**

FOR STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 20

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **LORI ROOK**
- ⬭ **CURTIS TRENT**

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 127

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **RYAN HAGEDORN**
- ⬭ **BRENDEN J. KELLEY**

FOR ASSOCIATE CIRCUIT JUDGE 28TH DISTRICT

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **KYLA MOORHOUSE**

FOR PRESIDING COMMISSIONER

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **DAVID JOHNSON**

FOR COUNTY CLERK

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **APRIL GRAHAM**

FOR CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **MELINDA MABERRY**

FOR RECORDER OF DEEDS

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **RHONDA OVERSTREET**

FOR PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **MIKE SMALLEY**

DEMOCRATIC PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **QUENTIN WILSON**
- ⬭ **GREGORY UPCHURCH**

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 4

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **JORDAN HERRERA**
- ⬭ **WAYNE RUSSELL**
- ⬭ **HARTZELL GRAY III**
- ⬭ **G RICK**
- ⬭ **JEANETTE CASS**
- ⬭ **ASHLEIGH ROGERS**
- ⬭ **RANDY MILLER**

FOR STATE SENATOR DISTRICT 20

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **SEAN FALCONER**

FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 127

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **MARVIN MANRING**

LIBERTARIAN PARTY

FOR STATE AUDITOR

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **DUSTIN COFFELL**

FOR UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE DISTRICT 4

Vote For ONE

- ⬭ **THOMAS HOLBROOK**

NON-PARTISAN

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 1

Proposed by Article IV, Section 47(c) (Second Regular Session) SJR No. 1

Shall Missouri continue for 10 years the one-tenth of one percent sales/use tax that is used for soil and water conservation and for state parks and historic sites, and resubmit this tax to the voters for approval in 10 years?

The measure allows continued collection of the existing sales and use tax, which generates revenue of approximately $140 million annually.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 2

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly, (First Regular Session) HCS HJR Nos. 23 & 3

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

• require all charter counties, including Jackson County, to provide for the election of a county assessor; and

• require assessors in all charter counties to comply with any training requirements established by general law?

State and local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 4

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly (Second Extraordinary Session) HCS HJR No. 3

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

• Modify current requirements that a statewide majority of voters may approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution;

• Require a majority of voters in each congressional district to approve initiative petitions to amend the constitution; and

• Make available to each voter the full text of initiative petitions with their ballot?

The Department of Corrections estimates increased annual costs of up to $21,817. The Office of State Public Defender estimates an unknown fiscal impact. Other state governmental entities estimate no costs or savings. Local governmental entities estimate no costs or savings.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT NO. 5

Proposed by 103rd General Assembly, (Second Regular Session) SS SCS HCS HJR Nos. 173 & 174

Shall the Missouri Constitution be amended to:

• Require legislative phase-out of the individual state income tax based on revenue growth, and authorize the expansion of sales and use taxes;

• Curtail constitutional limits on taxing goods and services; and

• Require local tax rate cuts without reducing school funding if local sales tax revenue increases?

The proposal has no direct impact on state or local tax revenue. If passed, implementing legislation will have an unknown impact to state and local tax revenue. If implemented, state government entities expect a reduction of $57,000 annually in income tax check-off donations and implementation costs of at least $100,000.

◯ **YES**

◯ **NO**